

Do the legislators who drafted this bill understand the difference between “reasonable suspicion” and “probable cause” as it pertains to the motor vehicle search portion of this bill eliminating consent searches? Requiring probable cause to search a vehicle absent the ability to ask for consent is going to get police hurt or killed, severely limit contraband seizures, (we still are fighting a drug epidemic and spike in firearm related violence in our cities, remember) and Dramatically reduce the motivation of Police to be proactive which has already taken a tremendous nose dive over the last two years from knee jerk, mandated policy changes made by people dissociated from the reality of street policing.

This bill is far too broad as it reads regarding the elimination of consent searches. I would suggest this language be looked at MUCH more closely and perhaps include Troopers and Police Officers in the discussions of this section who are actively trained and practicing Pro Active Criminal Enforcement. This way, those who are not familiar with the actual street application and implications of such a law can hear it AND LEARN ABOUT IT from the informed, trained, and experienced Trooper/Officer.

Respectfully  
Kyle M. Kaelberer  
Enfield CT resident

Sent from my iPhone